

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

DAIMEON MOSLEY,

Plaintiff,

Case No.

v.

Hon.

BED BATH & BEYOND INC., a New
York corporation,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiff Daimeon Mosley, through his undersigned counsel, states the following in support of his complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief to remedy discrimination by Bed Bath & Beyond Inc. based on plaintiff's disability in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181 et seq. ("ADA"), and its implementing regulation, 28 C.F.R. Part 36:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000a-3(a), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1343.
2. Venue is appropriate in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the acts of discrimination occurred in this district, and the property that is the subject of this action is in this district.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff is a resident of Maricopa County, Arizona.
4. Defendant is a corporation with its registered office located at 601 Abbot Road, East Lansing, MI 48823.
5. Upon information and belief, defendant owns or operates Bed Bath & Beyond whose locations qualify each as a “Facility” as defined in 28 C.F.R. § 36.104.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

6. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs by reference.
7. Plaintiff is an individual with numerous disabilities, but in no way limited to, permanent paralysis, degenerative discs and scoliosis caused by his being infected with the West Nile Virus in 2003. These conditions cause plaintiff to suffer from sudden onsets of severe pain, experience seizures and require plaintiff to use a mobility device, all of which substantially limits plaintiff’s major life activities.
8. At the time of plaintiff’s initial visit to Bed Bath & Beyond (and prior to instituting this action), plaintiff suffered from a qualified disability under the 28 C.F.R. 36.104.

9. Plaintiff was denied full and equal access and enjoyment of the services, goods and amenities due to barriers present at each Facility and a failure of defendant to make reasonable accommodations.

10. Plaintiff would return to each Facility if defendant modifies the Facility and its policies and practices to accommodate individuals who have physical disabilities, but he is deterred from returning due to the discriminatory conditions at each Facility.

COUNT I
REQUEST FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2201

11. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs by reference.

12. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment regarding: (1) defendant's violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12182; (2) defendant's duty to comply with the provisions of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et al; (3) defendant's duty to remove architectural barriers at each Facility; and (4) plaintiff's right to be free from discrimination due to his disability. 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

13. Plaintiff seeks an order declaring that he was discriminated against on the basis of his disability.

COUNT II
REQUEST FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 2000a-3(a)

14. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs by reference.

15. Bed Bath & Beyond is a place of public accommodation covered by Title III of the ADA because it is operated by a private entity, its operations affect commerce, and it is a sales establishment. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7); see 28 C.F.R. § 36.104.

16. Defendant is a public accommodation covered by Title III of the ADA because it owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181(7), 12182(a); 28 C.F.R. § 36.104.

17. Architectural barriers exist which denied plaintiff full and equal access to the goods and services defendant offers to non-disabled individuals.

18. Plaintiff personally encountered architectural barriers at the following facilities:

a. On March 24, 2018, plaintiff encountered the following barriers at 41936

Ford Rd, Canton, MI 48187:

i. Men's Restroom:

1. Providing a gate or door with a continuous opening pressure of greater than 5 lbs. exceeding the limits for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§404, 404.1, 404.2, 404.2.9 and 309.4.

2. Providing pathways and surfaces that are too steep that include changes in levels greater than 0.5 inches in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§206, 206.1, 206.2, 206.2.2, 303, 303.4, 403.3 and/or §4.8.5 of the 1991 ADA Standards 4.3.8.
 3. Failing to provide the proper insulation or protection for plumbing or other sharp or abrasive objects under a sink or countertop in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§606 and 606.5.
 4. Failing to provide toilet cover dispenser at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§606, 606.1 and 308.
 5. Failing to provide paper towel dispenser at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§606, 606.1 and 308.
- b. On March 23, 2018, plaintiff encountered the following barriers at 17223 Haggerty Rd, Northville, MI 48167:
- i. Men's Restroom:

1. Providing a gate or door with a continuous opening pressure of greater than 5 lbs. exceeding the limits for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§404, 404.1, 404.2, 404.2.9 and 309.4.
2. Failing to provide operable parts that are functional or are in the proper reach ranges as required for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§309, 309.1, 309.2, 309.3, 309.4 and 308.
3. Failing to provide a urinal designed for a person with a disability where the horizontal projection of the urinal is not at a minimum of 13.5 inches in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§605 and 605.2.
4. Failing to provide a urinal designed for a person with a disability where the rim height is no more than 17 inches from the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§605 and 605.2.
5. Failing to provide proper signage for an accessible restroom or failure to redirect a person with a disability to the closest available accessible restroom facility in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§216, 216.2, 216.6, 216.8, 603, 703, 703.1, 703.2, 703.5 and 703.7.2.1.

c. On March 20, 2018, plaintiff encountered the following barriers at 43610 W Oaks Dr, Novi, MI 48377:

i. Men's Restroom:

1. Failing to provide the proper insulation or protection for plumbing or other sharp or abrasive objects under a sink or countertop in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§606 and 606.5.
2. Providing grab bars of improper horizontal length or spacing as required along the rear or side wall in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§604, 604.5, 604.5.1 and 604.5.2.
3. Failing to provide a coat hook within the proper reach ranges for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§603, 603.4 and 308.
4. Failing to provide mirror(s) located above lavatories or countertops at the proper height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§603 and 603.3.
5. Failing to provide toilet cover dispenser at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§606, 606.1 and 308.

6. Failing to provide paper towel dispenser at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§606, 606.1 and 308.
 7. Failing to provide the water closet in the proper position relative to the side wall or partition in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§604 and 604.2.
 8. Failing to provide the water closet seat at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§604 and 604.4.
19. Defendant's policies, practices, or procedures of not training its staff to identify and reasonably modify its services creates an environment where individuals with disabilities are not provided goods and services in the most integrated setting possible.
20. Defendant has discriminated and continues to discriminate against plaintiff (and others who are similarly situated) by denying access to full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations located at each Facility due to the barriers and violations listed in this Complaint.
21. Defendant has failed to remove some or all of the barriers and violations at each Facility.

22. It would be readily achievable for defendant to remove all of the barriers at each Facility.

23. Failing to remove barriers to access where it is readily achievable is discrimination against individuals with disabilities. 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182(a), 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv), and 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.

24. Defendant's failure to modify its policies, practices, or procedures to allow its goods and services to be provided in the most integrated setting is discriminatory. 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182(a), 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv), and 28 C.F.R. § 36.302.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

A. declare that each Facility identified in this Complaint is in violation of the ADA;

B. declare that each Facility identified in this Complaint is in violation of the ADAAG;

C. enter an Order requiring Defendant make each Facility accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;

- D. enter an Order directing Defendant to evaluate and neutralize its policies, practices, and procedures towards persons with disabilities;
- E. award plaintiff attorney fees, costs (including, but not limited to court costs and expert fees) and other expenses of this litigation pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205; and
- F. grant any other such relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

BLACKMORE LAW PLC

/s/ George T. Blackmore

Blackmore Law PLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
21411 Civic Center Drive, Suite 200
Southfield, MI 48076
Telephone: (248) 845-8594
E-mail: george@blackmorelawplc.com
P76942

Dated: May 23, 2018